Hexavalent Chromium Removal from Aqueous Solution by Newspaper

Ismael Acosta-Rodríguez^aErika Ledezma-Tristán^a, Juan F. Cárdenas-González^a, María de Guadalupe Moctezuma-Zárate^a, and Víctor Manuel Martínez-Juárez^b

^aUniversidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios de Posgrado, Laboratorio de Micología Experimental, Av. Dr. Manuel Nava No. 6, Zona Universitaria, 78210 San Luis Potosí, S.L.P. México (<u>iacosta@uaslp.mx</u>), and^bUniversidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, Instituto de Ciencias Agropecuarias, Área Académica de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia. A. Universidad Km. 1. Rancho Universitario. C.P. 43 600.Tulancingo de Bravo Hidalgo, México.

Abstract

We studied the Chromium (VI)removal capacity in aqueous solution by newspaper, using the diphenylcarbazide method to evaluate the metal concentration. So, the highest biosorption of the metal (50 mg/L) occurs within 24 hours, at pH of 1, and 28 °C. According to temperature, the highest removal was observed at 60 °C, in 5hours, when the metal (50mg/L) is completely adsorbed. At the analyzed concentrations of Cr (VI), newspaper showed good removal capacity, besides it removes efficiently the metal in situ (83.1%, and 93.9% of removal, 7 days of incubation, 5 g of biomass, in soil and water contaminated, respectively). So it can be used to eliminate it from industrial wastewater.

Key words: Chromium (VI), Newspaper, Removal, wastewater, bioremediation.

1. Introduction

Cr (VI) is a toxic metal and on the list of priority pollutants due to its mutagenic and carcinogenic properties defined by the US EPA(Environmental Protection Agency). Cr (VI) is mainly from electroplating, leather tanning, textile dyeing and metal finishing industries. The US EPA requires Cr (VI) in drinking water and inland surfacewaters is 0.05 and 0.1 mg/L, respectively (Kobya, 2004).

Conventional treatment technologies utilized in electroplating andmetal finishing plants suffer from disadvantages such as high disposaland chemical costs and incomplete reduction of Cr (VI). Therefore, costeffective treatment technologies are needed to meet these requirements.Recently, a variety of low cost materials have been studied fortheir ability to remove Cr (VI) from aqueous solution and promisingresults are shown. Among these low cost adsorbents are dead microorganisms, clay minerals, agricultural wastes, industrial wastes andvarious other low cost materials (Kamaludeen, et. al., 2003), like modified corn stalks, (Chen et. al., 2011), hazelnut shell (Cimino et. al., 2000), orange shell (Pérez- Marín et. al., 2007), tamarind shell (Acosta-Rodríguez et. al., 2010). It has also been reported that some of these biomass can reduce chromium (VI) to chromium (III), like tea fungal biomass (Razmovski, and Sciban, 2007); Mesquite (Aldrich et. al., 2003), Eucalyptus bark(Sarin and Pant, 2006), red roses waste biomass(Shafqatet. al., 2008), Yohimbebark (Fiol et. al., 2008), and litchii (Acosta-Rodríguez et. al., 2012). Newspapers are complex materials and consist principally of cellulosewhichincludes polar functional groups such as alcohols and ethers. These functional groups can be protonated at lower pH and thereforebind Cr (VI) by way of electrostatic interactions. In this study, newspaperswere used for biosorption of Cr (VI) from aqueous solutions. Important factors affecting the biosorption, such as solution pH, adsorbentconcentration, metal concentration, and reaction temperature were investigated.

2. Experimental

2.1 Biosorbent used: Newspapers

The newspapers were cut into pieces (1 cm^2) and rind washed with water trideionized 72 hours under constant stirring, with water changes every 12 hours, and was used asbiosorbent for the following experiments.

2.2. Methods

The stock solution wasprepared by dissolving a known quantity of potassium dichromate ($K_2Cr_2O_7$) (AR grade) in trideionized water. The stock solution wasfinally diluted to obtain standard solutions. Solutions of 0.1 mol/LNaOH and/or HCl were used for pH adjustment.Batch adsorption experiments were carried out by shaking 1.0 g of sorbent with 100 mL aqueous solution of the desired concentrationin a temperature-controlled water-batch shaker. Continuous mixingwas provided during the experiments with a constant agitation speed of 150 rpm. Removal studies were carried out at constant pH 1.0, withinitial concentration (50 mg/L) and adsorbent dose of 1.0 g/L at 28°C.After shaking, the solution samples were withdrawn at suitable timeintervals.Effect of pH on theadsorption of Cr (VI) was studied by varying the pH from 1.0 to 4.0.The effect of temperature on adsorption uptake of Cr (VI) was investigated by varying the range of concentration from 1.0 to 5.0 g/L of sorbent, and 200 to 1000 mg/L of Cr (VI), respectively.

The resulting solution was centrifuged and the supernatant liquidanalyzed. The concentrations of Cr (VI) were determined using diphenylcarbazidemethod (Greenberg et. al., 1992). Diphenylcarbazide forms a red-violetcomplex selectively with Cr (VI), and the intensity of this complex was read at 540 nm using a UV-visible spectrophotometer.

The values shown in the results section are the mean from three experiments carried out by triplicate.

3. Results and Discussión

3.1 Effect ofpH on adsorption

Figure 1 shows the effect of the incubation time and pH on Cr (VI) removal. The results indicate that the adsorption removal decreased from 81.15 to 0.0 % with increasing the pH from 1.0 to 4.0, suggesting that the removalwas highly pH-dependent. The pH dependence of metal adsorption is largely related to the surface functional groups in the biosorbents and metal solution chemistry (Cheng et. al., 2002). As mentioned above, newspapers consistmainly of the cellulose, which contains polar functional groups, alcohols and ethers. These polar functional groups were protonated at lower pH and therefore the surface of the adsorbent positively charged. On the other hand, the Cr (VI) in the solution exists mainly inthree oxidation states, i.e. $Cr_2O_7^{-2-}$, $HCrO_4^{-}$, CrO_4^{2-} , the stability of theseforms being dependent on the pH of the system. The dominant form of Cr (VI) is $HCrO^{4-}$ over the range of 1.0 < pH < 3.0, while CrO_4^{2-} is dominant in the range of pH> 4.0 (Kobya, 2004). This results are like for tamarind shell(Acosta et al., 2010), but the most of authors report an optimum pH of 2.0 like Tamarind shell (Agarwal et. al., 2006), eucalyptus bark(Sarin and Pant, 2006), bagasse and sugarcane pulp, coconut fibers and wool(Dakiki et. al., 2002), for the tamarind shell treated with oxalic acid(Popuri et al., 2008), at pH of 2.0 and 5.0 for the mandarin bagasse(Zunbair et al., 2008), and almond green hull (Sharanavard et al., 2011).

3.2 Effect of temperature on adsorption

Temperature is found to be a critical parameter in the adsorption of Cr (VI) (Figure2). The highest removal was observed at 60°C and 5 hours of incubation. At this point the total removal of the metal is carried out. The results are coincident for tamarind shell with 95% of removal at 58°C and 3 hours(Agarwal et. al., 2006), for the adsorption of cadmium(II) from aqueous solution on natural and oxidized corncob (40°C and 5 days) (Leyva-Ramos et. al., 2005), but this are different for the mandarin waste (Zunbair et al., 2008), *Caladium bicolor*(wild cocoyam) biomass(Jnr, and Spiff, 2005), and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*(Ozer and Ozer, (2003). The increase in temperature increases the rate of removal of chromium (VI) and decreases the contact time required for complete removal of the metal, to increase the redox reaction rate(Agarwal et. al., 2006).

3.3 Effect of Initial Metal Concentration on adsorption

On the other hand, at high metal concentrations (1000 mg/L), biomass studied, shows the best results for removal, adsorbing 100% at 5 hours at 60°C, while 200 mg/mL of the metal are removed at 7 hours and 7 days, at 60°C and 28°C, respectively(Figures 3a, and 3b).The results are coincident for tamarind shell (Acosta-Rodríguez et. al., 2010; Agarwal et. al., 2006).With respect to other biomass used, most authors report lower removal efficiencies of metal, for example: 45 mg/L for eucalyptus bark (Sarin and Pant, 2006),13.4 and 17.2 mg/L for bagasse and sugar cane pulp, 29 mg/L coconut fibers, 8.66 mg/L for wool (Dakiki et. al., 2002), 25 and 250 mg/L of chitin and chitosan (Sag, and Y.Aktay, 2002), and 1 mg/L for cellulose acetate (Arthanareeswaran et. al., 2002).

The increase in initial concentration of Cr (VI), results in the increased uptake capacity and decreased % removal of Cr (VI). This was due to the increase in the number of ions competing for the available functions groups on the surface of biomass (Agarwal et. al., 2006).

3.4 Effect of sorbent dose on adsorption

To assess the influence of the adsorbent concentration on theCr (VI) adsorption, adsorbent different concentrations were studied using solutions of 50 mg/L Cr (VI) at pH 1.0. As shownin Fig. 4, when the adsorbent concentration was increased from 1.0 to 5.0 g/L, also increases the removal of Cr (VI) in solution (100% of removal, with 4 and 5 g of biomass at 10 hours). This is likely due to the equilibrium concentration of theCr (VI) in solution was lower in the presence of high adsorbent concentrations, and there are moresorptionsites of the same, because the amount of addedsorbent determines the number of binding sites available formetalsorption (Pócsi, 2011). Similar results have been reported formodified corn stalks (Chen et. al., 2011), tamarind shell (Acosta-Rodríguez et, al., 2010), and *Mucorhiemalis* and *Rhizopusnigricans*, although latterwith 10gof biomass (Tewari et. al., 2005; Bai and Abraham, 2001), but are different from those reported for biomasswastes from themandarin (gabasse), whit an optimal concentration of biomass of 100 mg/L (Zubair et. al., 2008).

3.5 Removal of Cr(VI) in industrial wastes with newspaper

We adapted a water-phase bioremediation assay to explore possible usefulness ofnewspaper biomass for eliminating Cr(VI) from industrial wastes, the biomass was incubated with nonsterilized contaminated soil and water, containing 297 mg Cr(VI)/g, and 400 mg Cr (VI)/L, respectively, suspended in trideionized water. It was observed that after seven days of incubation with the biomass, the Cr(VI) concentration of soil and water samples decrease 90.1%, and 93.9%, respectively (Figure 5), and the decrease level occurred without change significant in total Cr content, during the assay. In the experiment carried out in the absence of the biomass, the Cr (VI) concentration of the soil and water samples decreased by about of 18% (date not shown); this might be caused by indigenous microflora and (or) reducing components present in the soil and water. The chromium removal abilities of newspaper biomass are equal than those of other reported biomass, for example tamarind shell (Acosta-Rodríguez et. al., 2010),*Mameaamericana* shell (Acosta et. al., 2012), and*Candida maltose* RR1, (Ramírez-Ramírez et. al., 2004. Many of the Cr(VI) reduction studies were carried out at neutral pH (Fukuda et. al., 2008).*Aspergillusniger* also has the ability to reduce and adsorb Cr(VI) (Fukuda et. al., 2008). When the initial concentration of Cr(VI) was 500 ppm, *A. niger* mycelium removed 8.9 mg of chromium/g dry weight of mycelium in 7 days.

3.7 Desorption of Cr(VI) by different solutions

Furthermore, we examined the ability of different solutions to desorb the metal biosorbed (250 mg/L) for the newspaperbiomass, obtaining very low efficiency with 0.1 N NaOH and 0.5 N (3.6% and 2.71% respectively, date not shown), which are less than reported for desorption of chromium (VI) with alkaline solutions (100%, pH = 9.5), 1.0 N NaOH (95%) and a hot solution of NaOH/Na₂CO₃ (90%), respectively, (Singh et. al., 2009; Gupta, and Babu, 2009), and using 0.2 M NaOH (Wang et. al., 2009). This indicates that binding of metal to biomass is strong and that it can be used one desorption cycles of removal.

4.0 Conclusion

The newspaper biomass complete capacity showed of biosorption concentrations of 1.0 g/L Cr(VI) in solution after 7 days of incubation, at 28°C, 100 rpm with 1 g of biomass. These results suggest the potential applicability of newspaper biomass for the remediation of Cr(VI) from polluted soils and water in different places.

5.0 References

- Acosta, I., López, V., Coronado, E., Cárdenas, J.F. y Martínez, V.M. (2010). Remoción de Cromo (VI) por la biomasa de la cáscara de Tamarindo, (*Tamarindus indica*). Revista de Biotecnología y Bioingeniería. 14 (3), 11-23.
- Acosta-Rodríguez, I., Martínez-Perez, R., Cárdenas-González, J.F., Moctezuma-Zárate, M.G., andMartínez-Juárez, V.M. (2012). Hexavalent Chromium Removal by *Litchi chinensis*Sonn Peel. American Journal of Biochemistry and Biotechnology.8 (1), 7-13.
- Acosta, I., Sandoval, P., Bautista, D., Hernández, N., Cárdenas, J.F. y Martínez; V.M.(2012). Bioadsorción de Cromo (VI) por la cáscara de Mamey (*Mammea americana* L.). Avances en Ciencias e Ingeniería, Vol. 3, No. 2, (Abril.-Junio 2012). IN PRESS.
- Agarwal, G.S., Kumar, H., and S. Chaudari. (2006). Biosorption of aqueous chromium (VI) by *Tamarindusindica* seeds. Bioresource Technology. 97, 949-956.
- Aldrich, M.V., GardeaTorresdey, J.L., Peralta Videa, J.R., and Parsons, J.G. (2003). Uptake and Reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) by Mesquite (*Prosopis* ssp.): Chromate-Plant Interaction in Hydroponics and Solid Media Studied Using XAS.Environmental Science Technology. 37, 1859-1864.
- Arthanareeswaran, G., Thanikaivelan, P., Jaya, N., Mohan, D., and Raajenthiren, M. (2002).Removal of chromium from aqueous solution using cellulose acetate and sulfonatedpoly(ether ketone) blend ultrafiltration membranes.Biochemical Engineering Journal. 12, 43-153.
- Bai, R.S. and Abraham, T.E. (2001).Biosorption of chromium (VI) from aqueous solution by *Rhizopusnigricans*. Bioresource Technology, 79, 73-81.
- Chen, J.P., Hong, L., Wu, S.N., and Wang, L. (2002). Elucidation of interactions between metal ions and ca-alginate based ion exchange resin by spectroscopy analysis and model simulation. Langmuir 18, 9413–9421.
- Chen, S., Yue, Q., Gao, B., Li, Q., and Xu, X. (2011). Removal of Cr(VI) from aqueous solution using modified corn stalks: Characteristic, equilibrium, kinetic and thermodynamic study. Chemical Engineering Journal. 168, 909-917.
- Cimino, G., Passerini, A., and Toscano, G. (2000).Removal of toxic cations and Cr(VI) from aqueous solution by *Halzelnut shell*.Water Research. 34 (11), 2955-2962.
- Dakiki, M., Khamis, M., Manassra, A., and Mer'eb, M. (2002). Selective adsorption of chromium (VI) in industrial wastewater using low cost abundantly adsorbents. Advances Environmental Research, 6, 533-540.
- Fiol, N., Escudero, C., and Villaescusa, I. (2008). Chromium sorption and Cr(VI) reduction to Cr(III) by grape stalks and yohimbe bark.Bioresource Technology. 99, 5030-5036.
- Fukuda, T., Ishino, Y., Ogawa, A., Tsutsumi, K., and Morita, H. (2008).Cr(VI) reduction from contaminated soils by *Aspergillussp.* N2 and *Penicillium* sp. N3 isolated from chromium deposits.Journal of General andApplied Microbiology. 54, 295-303.
- Greenberg, A.E., Clesceri, L.S., and Eaton, A.D. (1992).Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater.18^a ed. American Public Health Association. (pp. 58-3.60, 187-190).Washington, D.C.
- Gupta, S., and Babu, B.V. (2009.Removal of toxic metal Cr(VI) from aqueous solutions using sawdust as adsorbent: Equilibrium, kinetics and regeneration studies. Chemical EngineeringJournal.150, 352-365.
- Jnr, M.H. andSpiff,A.I.(2005). Effects of temperature on theorption of Pb²⁺ and Cd²⁺ from aqueous solution by*Caladium bicolor* (wild cocoyam) biomass.Electronic Journal of Biotechnology.8, (2), 162–169.
- Leyva-Ramos, R., L.A. Bernal-Jacome, L.A., and Acosta-Rodriguez, I. (2005). Adsorption of cadmium(II) from aqueous solution on natural and oxidized corncob.Separation and Purification Technology.45, 41–49.
- Kamaludeen, S.P.B., Megharaj, M., Juhasz, A.L., Sethunathan, N., and Naidu, R. (2003). Chromium–Microorganism Interactions in Soils:Remediation Implications. Review Environmental Contamination Toxicology. 178, 93–164.
- Kobya, M. (2004). Adsorption, Kinetic and Equilibrium Studies of Cr(VI) by Hazelnut Shell Activated Carbon. Adsorption Science and Technology. 22 (1), 51-64.
- Ozer, A.andOzer, D. (2003). Comparitive study of thebiosorption of Pb (II), Ni (II) and Cr(VI) ions onto *S. cerevisiae*: Determination of biosorption heats. Journal of Hazardous Materials. 100, 219–229.
- Pérez-Marín, A.B., Meseguer, V., Zapata, J.F., Ortuño, M., Aguilar, J., Sáez S., and Lloréns, M. (2007). Removal of cadmium from aqueous solutions by adsorption onto orange waste.J. Hazardous Materials. 139, 122-131.
- Pócsi, I. (2011). Toxic Metal/Metalloid Tolerancein Fungi.A Biotechnology-Oriented Approach.Bánfalvi, G. (ed.), Cellular Effects of Heavy Metals (pp. 31-58). Springer Science.
- Popuri, R.S., Jammala, A., Naga Suresh, K., and Abuburi, K. (2007).Biosorption of hexavalent chromium using tamarind (*Tamarindusindica*) fruit shell-a comparative study.Journal of Biotechnology, 10 (3), 358-367.

- Ramírez-Ramírez, R., Calvo-Méndez, C., Avila-Rodríguez, M., Lappe, P., Ulloa, M., Vázquez-Juárez, R., and Gutiérrez-Corona, J.F. (2004). Cr(VI) reduction in a Chromate-resistant strain of *Candida maltose* isolated from the leather industry. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek. 85, 63-68.
- Razmovski, N.R.N. and Sciban, M.B. (2007). Effect of different conditions on Cu(II) and Cr(VI) biosorption by dried waste tea fungal biomass. APTEFF, 38, 1-19.
- Sag, Y. and Aktay, Y. (2002). Kinetic studies on sorption of Cr (VI) and CU (II) ions by chitin, chitosan and *Rhizopusarrhizus* Biochemical Engineering Journal. 12, 143-153.
- Sarin, V. and Pant, K.K. (2006).Removal of chromium from industrial waste by using eucalyptus bark.Bioresource Technology, 97, 15-20.
- Shafqat, F., Bhatti, H.N., Hanif, M.A., and Zubair, A. (2008).Kinetic and equilibrium studies of Cr(III) and Cr(VI) sorption from aqueous solution using rosagruss an teplitz (*Red rose*) waste biomass. Journal Chile ChemistrySociety, 53 (4), 1667-1672.
- Sharanavard, M., Ahmadpourand, A., and Reza Doosti, M. (2011).Biosorption of Hexavalent Chromium Ions from Aqueous Solutions using Almond Green Hull as a Low-Cost Biosorbent. European Journal of Scientific Research. 58 (3), 392-400.
- Singh, K.K., Hasan, S.H., Talat, M., Singh, V.K., and Gangwar, S.K. (2009).Removal of Cr (VI) from aqueous solutions using wheat bran.Chemical Engineering Journal. 151, 113-121. 2009.
- Tewari, N., Vasudevan, P., and Guha, B. (2005). Study on biosorption of Cr(VI) by *Mucorhiemalis*. Biochemical Engineering Journal. 23, 185-192.
- Wang, X.S., Tang, Y.P., and Tao, S.R. (2009).Kinetics, equilibrium and thermodynamic study on removal of Cr (VI) from aqueous solutions using low-cost adsorbent Alligator weed.Chemical Engineering Journal. 148, 217-225.
- Zubair, A., Bhatti, H.N., Hanif. M.A., and Shafqat, F. (2008).Kinetic and equilibrium modeling for Cr(III) and Cr(VI) removal from aqueous solutions by *Citrus reticulata*waste biomass.Water Air SoilPollution. 191, 305-318.




Figure 1: Effect of incubation time and pH on Chromium (VI) removal by newspaper. 50 mg/L Cr (VI), 100 rpm, 28°C.




Figure 2: Effect of temperature on Chromium (VI) removalby newspaper. 50 mg/L Cr (VI), pH 1.0.100 rpm.




Figure 3: Effect of initial metal concentration on Chromium (VI) removal bynewspaper. pH 1.0. 100 rpm, A. - 28°C, B. - 60°C.




Figure 4: Effect of biomass concentration on the removal of 500 mg/L Cr (VI). $(60^{\circ}C, pH= 1.0, 100 \text{ rpm}).$




Figure 5: Removal of Chromium (VI) in industrial wastes incubated with the biomass. 100 rpm, 28°C, of contaminated soil and water (297 mg Cr (VI)/g soil, and 400 mg/L Cr (VI).